UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,166	06/08/2005	Pil-Mo Choi	21ST01453 US	9410
90323 Innovation Cou	7590 04/26/201 nsel LLP	EXAMINER		
21771 Stevens		WONG, TINA MEI SENG		
	Ste. 200A Cupertino, CA 95014			PAPER NUMBER
•			2874	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/538,166	CHOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TINA M. WONG	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	/ IO OFT TO EVENE - MONTH!	0) 00 THETY (00) DAY(0				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	arch 2010					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	∧ □	(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/8/2005 & 4/8/2009.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted by the International Bureau under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Invention (1), Species I(a), claims 1-5 in the reply filed on 08 March 2010 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 6,936,844 to Yamazaki et al.

In regards to claim 1, Yamazaki et al teaches a pixel (304) for displays (Figure 4B) comprising a channel layer (213 & 214), a first signal line (gate wiring) applying the first voltage to the channel layer, an insulating interlayer (167) insulating the first signal line from the channel layer and including a first contact hole and a second contact hole (Column 12), a second signal line (drain/source wiring) disposed on the insulating interlayer and electrically connected to the channel layer through the first contact hole, a third signal line (drain/source wiring) disposed on the insulating interlayer and electrically connected to the channel layer through the second contact hole and a pixel electrode (170 & 171) disposed on the insulating interlayer and

Application/Control Number: 10/538,166

Art Unit: 2874

Page 3

electrically connected to the third signal line. But Yamazaki et al fails to expressly teach a channel formed by applying a first voltage. However, the limitation is a method limitation in a device claim. Applicant is claiming a product, not a method of manufacturing the product. The patent being sought in the preceding claims is an end product that is met by the previously applied reference. Furthermore, Yamazaki et al fails to expressly teach the first and second contact homes to partially expose the channel layer. However, Yamazaki et al does teach the contact holes to reach the source and drain regions. The source and drain regions are on the same plane as the channel layers and since the source, drain, gate (channel) regions are all interconnected in order to form a functioning display device, the contact holes taught by Yamazaki et al must partially expose the channel layer in order to form the proper connections.

In regards to claim 2, Yamazaki et al teaches the pixel electrode to comprise indium zinc oxide.

In regards to claims 3 and 4, Yamazaki et al teaches the channel layer to further comprise a first region and a second region implanted with impurities at a relatively higher concentration than the channel layer, and the second and third signal lines connected to the first and second regions, respectively. Yamazaki et al further teaches the channel layer to further comprise a third region and a fourth region implanted with impurities at a relatively lower concentration than the channel layer, and the third and fourth regions are disposed adjacent to the first and second regions, respectively. (Regions 111-113 & 217-220)

In regards to claim 5, Yamazaki et al teaches an insulating layer formed on the insulating interlayer.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statements have been considered and made of record. Note attached copy of forms PTO-1449.

Inventorship

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TINA M. WONG whose telephone number is (571)272-2352. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Uyen-Chau Le can be reached on (571) 272-2397. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,166 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tina M Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2874